REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Kirkorowicz Minor Subdivision, Tentative Parcel Map TPM 20986RPL³/ER05-02-037 October 22, 2009

| | | | <u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform to th Ordinance findings? | те |
|---|---|---|--|-----|
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠ | |
| boundaries of t of any off-site in Permit/Coastal | he Multiple Sp mprovements Sage Scrub C | ecies Conse do not conta Ordinance. T | nprovements are located outside of the ervation Program, the project site and location habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss adings is not required. | ns |
| | | | ect conform to the Multiple Species igation Ordinance? | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT | |
| located outside | of the boundatering of the formance with | aries of the N the Multiple | provements related to the proposed project a Multiple Species Conservation Program. Species Conservation Program and the uired. | are |
| III. GROUNDW the San Diego | | | es the project comply with the requirements nance? | of |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠ | |
| | | | om the Vista Irrigation District which obtains orted sources. The project will not use any | |

groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

| The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES ⊠ | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
|--|----------|----|-----------------------|
| The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
| The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
| The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
| The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |

Wetland and Wetland Buffers: The site contains southern coast live oak riparian forest, which if disturbed would result in a significant impact. The entire area of southern coast live oak riparian forest will be placed in an open space easement prior to issuance of improvement or grading plans or prior to recordation of the Parcel Map, whichever comes first. There will be no net loss of wetlands and therefore no significant impact will occur. There the project will comply with the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: The project is not located near any floodway/floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it located near any watercourse which is plotted on any official County floodway/floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes: Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats: No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego certified archaeologist/historian, Gail Wright on February 16, 2006, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological or historical sites.

| V. STORMWATI | <u>ER ORDINA</u> | NCE (WPO) | Does the project comply with the County | / O |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----|
| | shed Protec | | ater Management and Discharge Control | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| Pasco Engineerin | ng. The doc Urban Storr | ument is com nwater Mitiga | anagement Plan (SWMP), prepared by plete and complies with the San Diego tion Plan (SUSMP) and Watershed for a SWMP. | |
| | | | ct comply with the County of San Diego County of San Diego Noise Ordinance? | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| The proposal wor | uld not expo | se people to i | nor generate potentially significant noise | |

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.